arXiv:1511.03351v1 [cs.MM] 11 Nov 2015

ATTRIBUTE-BASED MULTI-DIMENSIONAL SCALABLE ACCESS CONTROL FOR SOCIAL MEDIA SHARING

Changsha Ma and Chang Wen Chen

Dept. of Comp. Sci. and Eng., State Univ. of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 14260, USA
changsha@buffalo.edu, chencw@buffalo.edu

ABSTRACT

Media sharing is an extremely popular paradigm of social interaction in online social networks (OSNs) nowadays. The scalable media access control is essential to perform information sharing among users with various access privileges. In this paper, we present a multi-dimensional scalable media access control (MD-SMAC) system based on the proposed scalable ciphertext policy attribute-based encryption (SCP-ABE) algorithm. In the proposed MD-SMAC system, fine-grained access control can be performed on the media contents encoded in a multi-dimensional scalable manner based on data consumers' diverse attributes. Through security analysis, we show that the proposed MC-SMAC system is able to resist collusion attacks. Additionally, we conduct experiments to evaluate the efficiency performance of the proposed system, especially on mobile devices.

***Index Terms*—** SCP-ABE, social media sharing, multi-dimensional scalable access control

1. INTRODUCTION

Social media sharing has been an extremely popular social interaction in online social networks (OSN) nowadays. For example, on the world's most popular video sharing web site YouTube, there are more than 1 billion active users, who averagely upload 300 hours of video contents per minute [1]. For another example, since Facebook has launched the video sharing platform in 2014, more than 1 billion videos are watched on Facebook every day [2].

Although most of the current social media contents are free and available for all the others, the portion of social media contents that require specific access privileges keeps increasing. The phenomena can be driven by interest or by privacy requirement. In both cases, there is a need of media access control mechanism. Specifically, since the social media contents are generally stored in the servers owned by the OSNs, while not managed by the media data distributors themselves, it is more appropriate to apply encryption-based access control instead of rule-based access control.

Additionally, due to the diversity of social attributes and the heterogeneity of network environment associated with different social network users, it is reasonably desired to distribute a media content in different versions with different qualities [3]. With the support of scalable media formats such as JPEG 2000 and H.264 scalable video coding (SVC), the media stream can be encoded into a base layer that provides the lowest quality, and enhancement layers for enhancing the media data quality in terms of multiple factors such as frame rate, resolution, and SNR [4]. Take the 2-by-3-by-2 data structure shown in Fig.1 as an example, the base layer (0,0,0) provides the media quality with the lowest SNR, the lowest frame rate, and the lowest resolution. With an additional enhancement layer (1,0,0) ((0,1,0), (0,0,1)), the media quality improves in terms of SNR, (frame rate, resolution). More enhancement layers corresponds to higher media qualities. We hence need to assign an access key to each layer, and set an access policy for each media quality. Therefore, under the scalable media data structure, the access privileges are no longer just presence and absence, but instead scalable in multiple dimensions and with the total number of $2 \times 3 \times 2$ in this example. The multi-dimensional scalable media access control (MD-SMAC), which is able to enforce the multiple-dimensional scalable access policies, is therefore expected. In this paper, we propose a MD-SMAC system based on the proposed scalable ciphertext policy attribute-based encryption (SCP-ABE) algorithm, which encrypts multiple messages under a scalable attribute-based access tree. Specifically, we assign an access key for each layer of the scalably encoded media content, and then encrypt the key under SCP-ABE. The access on a media layer thus requires decrypting the corre-



Fig. 1. 2-by-3-by-2 scalable media data structure

sponding access key under SCP-ABE. A successful decryption requires the attributes described in the corresponding part of the SCP-ABE access tree. The more parts an individual's attributes can satisfy the access tree, the more media layers the individual can decrypt, and hence the higher media quality the individual can enjoy.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the related work. In Section 3 we present the proposed MD-SMAC system for social media sharing. Security and performance analysis are presented in Section 4. Finally, conclusions are summarized in Section 5.

2. RELATED WORK

As we know, when a media content is encoded in a scalable manner, there will be multiple layers generated. As a consequence, key distribution will become troublesome since multiple access keys may be sent to one data consumer. In order to reduce the cost of key distribution, related works [3, 5, 6, 7] usually expand one access key as multiple segments. The number of segments is equal to the number of dimensions. Additionally, segments of lower-level access keys can be generated from the corresponding segments of higher-level access keys through one-way hash chains. In this way, only one access key needs to be sent to each data consumer. Hence, the key distribution cost suppose to significantly decrease with slightly sacrificing the computation cost.

Unfortunately, such method may result in user collusion when the media layers are organized following the multi-dimensional scalable format. That is two users may collude with each other to generate a valid but illegal access key for unauthorized higher level access. The resistance of such collusion attack requires increasing the number of key segments. From the results shown in [3], the best performance of a collusion secure scheme needs mn key segments under a m-by-n-by-k ($k = max(m, n, k)$) data structure, but at the same time results in $O(mnk)$ more hash calculations. As a result, the communication cost saved by segmenting access keys is less significant, while the resulted computation cost is more than expected. Therefore, the aim to reduce the cost of key distribution is not sufficiently meaningful.

We hence consider a more important issue of scalable access control under the social media sharing application as how to distribute the access keys among media data consumers. To solve this problem, a recent research [8] has reported a multi-message ciphertext policy attribute-based encryption (MCP-ABE) scheme to achieve fine-grained scalable media access control. Specifically, this scheme sets a scalable access policy for the scalable media data, and encrypts the access keys under the policy. Data consumers with proper attributes can obtain the specific access keys and then acquire the media data with the corresponding quality. This scheme is rather flexible in terms of key distribution, since the data distributor does not need to distribute access keys for each consumer. However, this scheme only considers the media data with one-dimensional scalability. In [9], a 2-dimensional scalable access control scheme is proposed by converting the 2-dimensional scalable data structure into a tree structure. But there is no discuss on how to expand the scheme to achieve MD-SMAC. This issue is addressed in our work. More specifically, we propose a MD-SMAC system based on the proposed SCP-ABE algorithm, which is able to adapt to data structures that are scalable in terms of arbitrary dimensions.

3. THE PROPOSED MD-SMAC SYSTEM

3.1. System Overview

In the proposed scalable media access control architecture as shown in Fig.2, there are four communication parties, i.e. the data distributor who owns the copyright of the media contents, the data consumers who are interested in the media contents, the OSN servers run by the social networking site, and the attribute authority (AA) who authorizes the attributes involved in the access policies. In practice, the AA can be run by a trusted third party. Or alternatively, data distributors can run their AAs by themselves. In either way, the AA should be guaranteed accessible by the data consumers.

In the system, a media stream is encoded into a manner that the quality is scalable in multiple dimensions. Each resulted media layer is encrypted by an access key[1], which is further encrypted under the SCP-ABE algorithm. The encrypted media stream and the encrypted access keys are stored on the OSN servers, and accessible for all data consumers. A data consumer decrypts the media stream by first decrypting the access keys using their SCP-ABE user keys that are assigned by the AA according to their attributes.

3.2. Access Privileges in The System

The principle of scalable media access control is that the access to the higher level media layer guarantees the access to the lower level media layer [3]. In attribute-based access control schemes, attributes determine access privileges. Therefore, to achieve scalable access control, the attributes associated with the higher level layer should also satisfy the access policy to the lower level layer. In a 3D-scalable media data structure, e.g. the 2-by-3-by-2 one shown in Fig.1, suppose the access policy associated with the layer $ijk(i = 0, 1; j = 0, 1, 2; k = 0, 1)$ is P_{ijk}, then we always have:

$$P_{ijk} \subseteq P_{pql}, i \leq p, j \leq q, k \leq l$$

Based on this rule, we can further conclude that:

$$P_{ijk} \subseteq P_{pql} \cap P_{mng}, i \leq min(p, m), j \leq min(q, n), k \leq min(l, g)$$

We follow these access privilege enforcement principles when designing the SCP-ABE algorithm. For the 2D-scalable media data structure shown in Fig.3, we hence have $P_{11}(I_1) \subseteq$

[1]The encryption algorithm is out of the scope of our discussion.



Fig. 2. Multi-Dimensional Scalable Social Media Sharing And Access Control

$P_{12} \cap P_{21}(I_2)$, $P_{12} \subseteq P_{13}$, and $P_{21} \subseteq P_{22}$. Furthermore, we have $I_2 \subseteq P_{13} \cap P_{22}(I_3)$. Similarly, we have $I_3 \subseteq I_4$.

3.3. The SCP-ABE algorithm

The proposed SCP-ABE algorithm is a variation of the CP-ABE algorithm [10]. In CP-ABE scheme, a message is encrypted under an access policy and a secret key of a user is associated with a set of attributes, which are authorized by attribute authorities (AAs). A user could decrypt the message only if his or her attributes satisfy the access policy. Instead of encrypting one message in one ciphertext as in CP-ABE, SCP-ABE encrypts multiple ones in one ciphertext. Under the proposed MD-SMAC system, a message refers to an access key that is used to encrypt a media layer. The messages a user can decrypt depends on the individual's access privilege, which is determined by the individual's attributes.

Similar to CP-ABE, SCP-ABE algorithm is also based on the bilinearity property and the non-degeneracy property of bilinear map. Moreover, SCP-ABE algorithm is composed of six sub-algorithms including system setup, access tree construction, encryption, decryption, user key generation, and delegation. We present SCP-ABE by first introducing the properties of bilinear map, and then describing each sub-algorithm.

3.3.1. Bilinear Map

Let G_0 and G_1 be two multiplicative cyclic groups of prime order p. Let g be a generator of G_0 and e be a bilinear map, $e : G_0 \times G_0 \rightarrow G_1$. Then e has the following properties:

- *Bilinearity*: for all $u, v \in G_0$ and $a, b \in Z_p$, we have $e(u^a, v^b) = e(u, v)^{ab}$.
- *Non-degeneracy*: $e(g, g) \neq 1$.

3.3.2. System Setup

The setup algorithm chooses a bilinear group G_0 of prime order p with generator g, and two random exponents $\alpha, \beta \in Z_p$. The public key PK and the master key MK are returned as: $\{PK = G_0, g, h = g^\beta, f = g^{1/\beta}, e(g, g)^\alpha\}$, $\{MK = \beta, g^\alpha\}$.

3.3.3. Access Tree Construction

Given the scalable data structure and the corresponding access policies, the access key generation algorithm constructs the access tree, which has the feature that the access to higher level data layer guarantees the access to lower level data layer, but not vice versa. For ease of presentation, we here use the 2D-scalable (M-by-N) data structure to describe the access tree construction algorithm. However, note that the process is applicable for multiple-dimensional scalable data structure.

Suppose that the access policy corresponding to each data segment m_{ij} $(i = 1, 2, ..., M; j = 1, 2, ..., N)$ is P_{ij}. The access policy has the property that $P_{ij} < P_{mn}$ if $i+j < p+q$, meaning that all rules in P_{ij} are included in P_{pq} if m_{pq} has longer distance to m_{11} than m_{ij}. Then the access tree T is constructed as following.

a. To begin with, we define the *referee* for $m_{ij}(i = 1, 2, ..., M; j = 1, 2, ..., N)$ as the key(s) in the path from m_{ij} to m_{11} that is (are) nearest to m_{ij}. The referee for m_{11} is defined as itself. In the example shown in Fig. 5, the referees of $m_{12}, m_{21}, m_{13}, m_{22}$, and m_{23} are (m_{11}), (m_{11}), (m_{12}), (m_{12}, m_{21}), and (m_{13}, m_{22}), respectively. Additionally, we divide the data segments into $M + N - 1$ groups according to their distances to m_{11} in the data structure. Under the data structure shown in Fig. 5, there are four groups, i.e. $G_1 = (m_{11})$, $G_2 = (m_{12}, m_{21})$, $G_3 = (m_{13}, m_{22})$, and $G_4 = (m_{23})$. Let $U_i(i = 1, ..., M+N-1)$ be the union access policy of members in group G_i, and I_i be the common access policy of members in group G_i.

b. Construct the sub-tree $T_q^i(i = 1, 2, ..., M+N-3)$ according to $I_{i+1} \setminus I_i$.

c. Then we construct T_{11} according to P_{11}, and $T_{ij}(i = 2, ..., M|j = 1; j = 2, ..., N|i = 1)$ according to $P_{ij} \setminus (I_k \cup P'_{ij})$, where I_k is the common access policy of members in group G_k that P_{ij} belongs to, and P'_{ij} is the referee(s) of P_{ij}. The root $R_{ij}(i = 1, 2, ..., M; j = 1, 2, ..., N)$ of T_{ij} is called key node.

d. Let $R_{ij}(i = 2, ..., M|j = 1; j = 2, ..., N|i = 1)$ and


2nd dimension
1st dimension
m_{13} m_{23} m_{12} m_{22} m_{11} m_{21}
m_{11} m_{12} m_{21} m_{22} m_{13} m_{23}
$P_{11} \neq P_{12} \neq P_{21} \neq P_{22} \neq P_{13} \neq P_{23}$
$P_{11} = I_1$
$P_{12} \cap P_{21} = I_2$ $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq I_4$
$P_{13} \cap P_{22} = I_3$
$P_{23} = I_4$
$P_{11} \rightarrow T_{11}$
$I_2 \setminus I_1 \rightarrow T_q^1$
$P_{12} \setminus I_2 \rightarrow T_{12}$
$P_{21} \setminus I_2 \rightarrow T_{21}$
$I_3 \setminus I_2 \rightarrow T_q^2$
$P_{13} \setminus (I_3 \cup P_{12}) \rightarrow T_{13}$
$P_{22} \setminus (I_3 \cup P_{21} \cup P_{12}) \rightarrow T_{22}$
$P_{23} \setminus (P_{13} \cup P_{22}) \rightarrow T_{23}$
leaf node (attribute)
key node
root
subtree construction
$P_{12} \setminus I_2$: a&b
a
b

(a) (2D) scalable data structure & access policy

(b) access tree construction

Fig. 3. An indication of SCP-ABE access tree construction

an additional attribute a_{ij} be the children of the and gate, build the subtree T'_{ij}, whose root is V_{ij}.

e. Let all V_{ij} in the group $G_i (i = 2, 3, ..., M + N - 2)$ be the children of the $1/|G_i|$ gate, build the group subtree T_i. Let T_{M+N-1} be T'_{MN}, and T_1 be T_{11}.

f. The whole access tree is constructed group by group in a bottom-to-up manner. Starting from $i = M + N - 2$, for group G_i, let an and gate be the mother of the root of T_i and an or gate whose children are a selected attribute a_i and the root of T_{i+1}. Then let the and gate and T_q^{i-1} be the children of a new and gate. Let the new constructed tree as T_i, and recursively do the previous steps until all groups are in the access tree. The root of the access tree will be an and gate.

Then we choose a polynomial p_x for each tree node x in the access tree $\mathcal{T}$ in a manner as: (1) From the bottom to up, set the degree d_x of the polynomial p_x to be $d_x = k_x - 1$, where k_x is the threshold value of node x; (2) For the root node $root$, choose a random $s \in Z_p$ and set $p_{root}(0) = s$, and randomly choose other points of polynomial p_{root}; (3) For any other node x, set $p_x(0) = p_{parent(x)}(index(x))$, and randomly choose other points of p_x. Figure 3 indicates a case of access tree construction based on a 2-by-3 data structure.

In the access tree structure, we have added some additional attributes except for the attributes related to the access policies. Specifically, $a_i (i = 1, 2, ..., M + N - 2)$ is used to enable users to compute starting from the level where G_i locates. For example, if the attributes of a user only conform to P_{11}, the user takes the user key corresponding to attributes in P_{11} and a_1 as input of the decryption algorithm, and performs decryption operation starting from the level that G_1 locates. If the user has attributes that conform to P_{12}, he or she can instead perform decryption starting from the level that G_2 locates. Additionally, $a_{ij} (i = 2, ..., M | j = 1; j = 2, ..., N | i = 1)$ is used to guarantee the uniqueness of each key node, which is essential to enforce the access policies. For example, without a_{12} and a_{21}, $p_{R_{12}}(0)$ will be equal to $p_{R_{21}}(0)$ since their mother node has the degree of zero. As a result, a user who can access $p_{R_{12}}(0)$ will also be able to access $p_{R_{21}}(0)$ even without the required attributes.

3.3.4. Encryption

The encryption algorithm encrypts the data segments. Let L be the set of leaf nodes in $\mathcal{T}$, K be the set of key nodes V_{ij} $(i = 1, 2, ..., M; j = 1, 2, ..., N)$, and m_{ij} be the corresponding data segment, the ciphertext is given as

$$CT = (\mathcal{T}, \forall i \in L : E_i = g^{p_i(0)}, E'_i = H(att(i))^{p_i(0)}$$
$$\forall R_{ij} \in K : \tilde{C_{ij}} = m_{ij} e(g, g)^{\alpha(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)}, C_{ij} = h^{p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s})$$

3.3.5. Decryption

The decryption algorithm takes as input several messages encrypted under an access policy, a secret key SK of a user, and the public key PK. The number of access keys that a user is able to decrypt depends on how much the attributes can satisfy the access policy. Suppose that the highest level of access keys a user can obtain is m_{pq} $(1 \leq p \leq M, 1 \leq q \leq N)$. Starting from T_{pq}, the user needs to perform the following computation for each leaf node x of T_{pq} and that locates at the path from R_{pq} to the root.

$$\begin{aligned} F_x &= \frac{e(D_x, E_x)}{e(D'_x, E'_x)} \\ &= \frac{e(g^r \cdot H(attri_x)^{r_x}, g^{p_x(0)})}{e(g^{r_x}, H(attri_x)^{p_x(0)})} \\ &= \frac{e(g^r, g^{p_x(0)}) \cdot e(H(attri_x)^{r_x}, g^{p_x(0)})}{e(g^{r_x}, H(attri_x)^{p_x(0)})} \\ &= e(g, g)^{r p_x(0)} \end{aligned}$$

Then the user recursively computes the corresponding values of non-leaf nodes including the key nodes, in a bottom-up manner using polynomial interpolation technique [10], until reaches the root and obtains:

$$F_{root} = e(g, g)^{r p_{root(0)}} = e(g, g)^{rs}$$

In this process, the user also obtains:

$$F_{R_{ij}} = e(g, g)^{r p_{R_{ij}}(0)} (i = 1, 2, ..., p, j = 1, 2, ..., q)$$

Furthermore, the user compute $K_{ij} = F_{R_{ij}} \cdot F_{root} = e(g, g)^{r(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)}$. Each data segment m_{ij} $(i =$

$1, 2, ..., p, j = 1, 2, ..., q$) can then be decrypted as following.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\tilde{C_{ij}}}{e(C_{ij}, D)/K_{ij}} \\
&= \frac{m_{ij} e(g,g)^{\alpha(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)}}{e(h^{p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s}, g^{(\alpha+r)/\beta})/e(g,g)^{r(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)}} \\
&= \frac{m_{ij} e(g,g)^{(\alpha+r)(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)}}{e(g^{\beta(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)}, g^{(\alpha+r)/\beta})} \\
&= \frac{m_{ij} e(g,g)^{(\alpha+r)(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)}}{e(g,g)^{\beta(p_{R_{ij}}(0)+s)\cdot(\alpha+r)/\beta}} \\
&= m_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

3.3.6. User Key Generation

The algorithm generates user secret keys used for deriving the access keys. Specifically, taking a set of attributes S as input, the key generation algorithm outputs a secret key that identifies with the set. The algorithm selects a random $r_i \in Z_p$ for every attribute in S. Note that S always include $a_i (i = 1, 2, ..., M + N - 2)$, and $a_{ij} (i = 2, ..., M | j = 1; j = 2, ..., N | i = 1)$. The user secret key is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
SK = \{&D = g^{(\alpha+r)/\beta}, \\
&\forall i \in S : D_i = g^r \cdot H(attri_i)^{r_i}, D_i' = g^{r_i}\}
\end{aligned}$$

3.3.7. Delegation

Given a user secret key SK with the attribute set S, the delegation algorithm creates a new user secret key $\tilde{SK}$ with the attribute set $\tilde{S} \subseteq S$. Specifically, the algorithm selects a random number $\tilde{r} \in Z_p$ and also $\tilde{r_i} \in Z_p \forall i \in \tilde{S}$. Then $\tilde{SK}$ is created as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{SK} = \{&\tilde{D} = D f^{\tilde{r}}, \\
&\forall i \in \tilde{S} : \tilde{D_i} = D_i g^{\tilde{r}} \cdot H(attri_i)^{\tilde{r_i}}, \tilde{D_i}' = D_i' g^{\tilde{r_i}}\}
\end{aligned}$$

3.4. Discussion

Following the access privilege enforcement principle, the attributes associated with a higher level access key always contains those associated with a lower level access key. If we simply apply an existing ABE algorithm to encrypt each access key separately, the computation power will be significantly wasted on repeatedly computing on the overlapped attributes. Such redundant computations can be avoided in the proposed SCP-ABE algorithm, since the access tree is organized in a scalable manner. Therefore, the proposed SCP-ABE algorithm is essential for the efficiency of the MD-SMAC system.

Additionally, the delegation algorithm in SCP-ABE provides additional flexibilities for social media sharing. For example, if a media data distributor individually runs the AA, which is not reachable for friends' friends, the distributor's friends can run the delegation algorithm and assign user keys for their friends. The detailed discussion will be presented in our future work.

4. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

4.1. Security Performance

By encrypting the media layers resulted from scalable media format under SCP-ABE, the proposed MD-SMAC system enables a data distributor to define fine-grained and scalable access privileges on the media contents shared on the OSNs. In this process, there is a potential collusion issue that two or more data consumers may collude with each other and try to obtain an access privilege higher than they suppose to deserve. In this section, we present analysis on how collusion resistance is provided by the proposed MD-SMAC system. Specifically, we consider collusion in the following two cases. For ease of presentation, we use the media data structure shown in Fig. 3.

4.1.1. Cross Group Collusion

A classical collusion issue in SMAC is that the user who has access key m_{ij} (e.g. m_{13}) and other lower level access keys (e.g. m_{11} and m_{12}) collude with the user who owns access key m_{pq} (e.g. m_{21}) and other lower level access keys (e.g. m_{11}), trying to derive the target access key m_{kl} ($k = max(i, p), l = max(j, q)$, e.g. m_{23}) and all lower level access keys that the two users do not have (e.g. m_{22}). Since the target access key always locate at a different group (as defined in SCP-ABE access tree construction algorithm), we refer such collusion as cross group collusion.

Since the access keys are encrypted under SCP-ABE, the success of such collusion requires the attributes of the two users satisfy the access policy corresponding to the target access key. For example, the derivation of m_{23} from m_{13} and m_{21} needs $P_{23} \subseteq P_{12} \cup P_{21}$. Under the access privilege enforcement principle, we have $P_{12} \cup P_{21} \subseteq P_{22} \subseteq P_{23}$. The success of collusion then requires $P_{23} = P_{12} \cup P_{21}$, which means there is no T_{22}' or T_{23}' in the access tree and hence no K_{22} or K_{23}. However, to avoid that m_{22} and m_{23} are transmitted in plain text, they have to be encrypted under SCP-ABE. Therefore, such collusion is infeasible.

4.1.2. Random Collusion

The other potential collusion issue is that two users exchange their attributes to obtain a specific access privilege. For example, suppose P_{11} is b_0 *and* b_1. One user owns attributes b_1, while the other user just owns the attribute b_0. The two users then try to collude and decrypt m_{11}. For the first user, he has the following secret key and is able to compute $F_{b_1} = e(g,g)^{r_a p_{b_1}(0)}$ for leaf node b_1 in T_{11}.

$$\begin{aligned}
SK_a = \{&D_a = g^{(\alpha+r_a)/\beta}, \\
&D_1 = g^{r_a} \cdot H(b_1)^{r_1}, D_1' = g^{r_1}\}
\end{aligned}$$

For the second user, he has the following secret key and is able to compute $F_{b_0} = e(g,g)^{r_b p_{b_0}(0)}$ for leaf node b_0 in T_{11}.

$$\begin{aligned}
SK_b = \{&D_b = g^{(\alpha+r_b)/\beta}, \\
&D_2 = g^{r_b} \cdot H(b_0)^{r_2}, D_2' = g^{r_2}\}
\end{aligned}$$

Their goal is to obtain $F_{R_{11}}$, which is essential to derive K_{11} and to decrypt m_{11}. However, $F_{R_{11}}$ should be either $e(g,g)^{r_a p_{R_{11}}(0)}$ or $e(g,g)^{r_b p_{R_{11}}(0)}$. If the first user wants to compute $F_{R_{11}}$, he needs the second user to send him $e(g,g)^{r_a p_{b_0}(0)}$, instead of $e(g,g)^{r_b p_{b_0}(0)}$ that the second user has. Therefore, the first user cannot obtain $F_{R_{11}}$. Similarly, the second user cannot obtain $F_{R_{11}}$ either.

Therefore, the random collusion is also infeasible in our proposed system.

4.2. Efficiency Performance

In the proposed MD-SMAC system, a media data distributor always first encrypts their media content before distribution, while a media data consumer always needs to perform decryption before enjoying the media content. The encryption and decryption operations on online media contents actually have already been widely applied in web sites nowadays with the emergence of security requirement. Therefore, the extra cost in the proposed MD-SMAC system merely results from the operations under SCP-ABE. In this section, we present analysis and experimental results of the SCP-ABE computation cost on both data distributor side and data consumer side.

4.2.1. Distributor Side Computation Cost

Distributor side computation cost mainly comes from SCP-ABE encryption, which involves pairings, exponentiations, and multiplications computations on G_0 and G_1. The encryption computation cost linearly increases with the number of attributes. We measure the average computation time per attribute on the user side on Google Nexus 4 (1.5GHz quad-core Snapdragon S4 Pro with Krait CPUs, Android 5.0), and on Lenovo T430 (2.6GHz Intel i5 CPU, Ubuntu 14.04)[2], which are 60ms and 35ms respectively. Suppose the given media content has M layers, and the access policy of layer i involves n_i ($n_{i+1} > n_i$) attributes. Plus the additional N attributes involved in the SCP-ABE algorithm, there will be $n_M + N$ attributes in total. The total computation time of SCP-ABE encryption and decryption can be obtained accordingly.

4.2.2. Consumer Side Computation Cost

Consumer side computation cost results from SCP-ABE decryption, which include pairings and multiplications computations on G_1. For SCP-ABE decryption, the computation cost is not only impacted by the number of attributes, but also by the access policies. For simplification, we adjust the access policies and make the computation cost grow with the number of attributes in approximately linear way, and test the computation time per ten attributes on devices. The result is 48ms on smart phone and 25ms on laptop. The server side computation cost comes from delegation. The AA side computation cost comes from user key generation. Both of the two algorithms involve in exponentiations and multiplications on G_0 and G_1. According to the results provided in [10], the computation time of user key generation is about 30ms per attribute on workstation with 64-bit 3.2 Ghz Pentium 4 processor. The computation time of delegation is almost the same.

[2]As in [10], operations are conducted using a 160-bit elliptic curve group based on the curve $y^2 = x^3 + x$ over 512-bit finite field.

5. CONCLUSION

We have presented in this paper a novel scalable ciphertext policy attribute-based encryption (SCP-ABE) algorithm, and based on what designed a multi-dimensional scalable media access control (MD-SMAC) system. With this system, we are able to achieve secure and fine-grained access control on social media contents encoded in multi-dimensional scalable format. We have confirmed the effectiveness of the proposed system through both numerical analysis and mobile terminal implementation with typical laptop and smart phone.

6. REFERENCES

[1] http://expandedramblings.com/index.php/youtube-statistics/

[2] http://www.businessinsider.com/facebook-video-statistics-2015-1

[3] Zhu, X.; Chen, C. W., "A collusion resilient key management scheme for multi-dimensional scalable media access control," Image Processing (ICIP), the 18th IEEE Int. Conference on, pp.2769,2772, 11-14 Sept. 2011.

[4] Schwarz, H.; Marpe, D.; Wiegand, T., "Overview of the Scalable Video Coding Extension of the H.264/AVC Standard," Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, IEEE Trans. on, vol.17, no.9, pp.1103,1120, Sept. 2007.

[5] Zhu, B.B.; Feng, M.; Li, S., "An efficient key scheme for layered access control of MPEG-4 FGS video," ICME '04. 2004 IEEE International Conference on, pp.443,446, 30-30 June 2004.

[6] Imaizumi, S.; Fujiyoshi, M.; Abe, Y.; Kiya, H., "Collusion Attack-Resilient Hierarchical Encryption of JPEG 2000 Codestreams with Scalable Access Control," Image Processing, 2007. ICIP 2007. IEEE International Conference on , vol.2, no., pp.II - 137,II - 140, Sept. 16 2007-Oct. 19 2007.

[7] Crampton, J.; Daud, R.; Martin, K. M., "Constructing Key Allignment Schemes from Chain Partitions", Proc. the 24th annual IFIP WG 11.3 working conference on Data and applications security and privacy, 2010.

[8] Wu, Y.; Zhuo, W.; Deng, R., "Attribute-Based Access to Scalable Media in Cloud-Assisted Content Sharing Networks," Multimedia, IEEE Transactions on , vol.15, no.4, pp.778,788, June 2013.

[9] Ma, C.; Chen, C. W., "Secure media sharing in the cloud: Two-dimensional-scalable access control and comprehensive key management," Multimedia and Expo (ICME), 2014 IEEE International Conference on, pp.1-6, 14-18 July 2014.

[10] Bethencourt, J.; Sahai, A.; Waters, B., "Ciphertext-Policy Attribute-Based Encryption," Security and Privacy, 2007. SP '07. IEEE Symposium on, pp.321,334, 20-23 May 2007.